					•	
1	wo			FILED RECEIVED	LODGED	
2				MAY 17	2010	
3				CLERK US DIS	TRICT COURT	
4				DISTRICT OF BY	ARIZONA DEPUTY	
5		•				
6	IN TH	E UNITED STATI	ES DISTRI	CT COURT		
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA					
8						
9	IN RE Mortgage Electronic Systems (MERS) Litigation	c Registration )	MDL DOC	KET NO. 09-2119	9-JAT	
10	bysicins (WERG) Enrigation	" {	ORDER			
11						
12	In the transfer order	establishing this co	onsolidated	multidistrict litiga	ation ("MDL"),	
13	the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("Panel") stated, "IT IS FURTHER ORDERED					
14	that claims unrelated to	the formation and	d/or operat	ion of the MEI	RS system are	
15	simultaneously remanded	to their respective	transferor c	courts." (Doc. #1	.) The parties	
16	contest which claims in each of the various cases relate to the formation and/or operation of					
17	MERS. <sup>1</sup> This Order addresses the following twenty-one cases <sup>2</sup> transferred by the MDL					
18	Conditional Transfer Order (CTO-2) and Simultaneous Separation and Remand of Certain					
19	Claims. (Doc. #107.)					
20	First Plaintiff's Name	Arizona Case Num	nber O	riginal Jurisdictio	n Case Number	
21	Shieban	CV 10-470-PHX-J	JAT 2:	09-1939 (Nevada	)	
22		-				
23	The parties have fu	ılly briefed this issu	e pursuant	to the Court's Orc	ler on Practices	
24	and Procedures (Doc. #176). Although the parties sought "remand" of certain claims to the transferor court, under Section 1407(a), remands to a transferor court can only be effected					
25	by the Judicial Panel on M	ultidistrict Litigatio	n. 28 U.S.	C. § 1407; see als	o R.P.J.P.M.L.	
26	7.6. The Court, thus, stresses that this order is solely a determination of which claims are pending before this Court and which claims remain in their respective transferor courts,					
27	pursuant to the Panel's tran					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The additional seventeen cases transferred by the transfer order will be addressed by a separate set of briefing.

- 1			
1	Villa	CV 10-472-PHX-JAT	2:09-2161 (Nevada)
2	Hall	CV 10-473-PHX-JAT	2:09-2233 (Nevada)
3	Velasquez	CV 10-475-PHX-JAT	2:09-2265 (Nevada)
4	Hora	CV 10-476-PHX-JAT	2:09-2267 (Nevada)
5	Lalatag	CV 10-477-PHX-JAT	2:09-2268 (Nevada)
6	Whalen	CV 10-471-PHX-JAT	2:09-2081 (Nevada)
7	Saniel	CV 10-483-PHX-JAT	2:09-2290 (Nevada)
8	Kwok	CV 10-484-PHX-JAT	2:09-2298 (Nevada)
9	Berilo	CV 10-485-PHX-JAT	2:09-2353 (Nevada)
10	Yateman	CV 10-486-PHX-JAT	2:09-2376 (Nevada)
11	Fitzwater	CV 10-487-PHX-JAT	2:09-2400 (Nevada)
12	Evans	CV 10-488-PHX-JAT	2:09-2401 (Nevada)
13	Kartman	CV 10-489-PHX-JAT	2:09-2404 (Nevada)
14	Bricker	CV 10-492-PHX-JAT	2:09-2449 (Nevada)
15	Boyd	CV 10-493-PHX-JAT	2:09-2456 (Nevada)
16	Golding	CV 10-494-PHX-JAT	2:10-1 (Nevada)
17	Kahalehoe	CV 10-491-PHX-JAT	2:09-2414 (Nevada)
18	Ene	CV 10-469-PHX-JAT	2:08-1550 (Nevada)
19	Greene	CV 10-474-PHX-JAT	2:09-2246 (Nevada)
20	Greene	CV 10-482-PHX-JAT	2:09-2273 (Nevada)

# I. General Interpretation of the Transfer Order

In the initial transfer order, the Panel transferred to this Court all allegations within these actions that "the various participants in MERS formed a conspiracy to commit fraud and/or that security instruments are unenforceable of foreclosures are inappropriate due to MERS's presence as a party" or that otherwise concern "the formation and operation" of MERS. (Doc. #1.) However, the Panel simultaneously remanded unrelated claims to their transferor courts, finding that "plaintiffs' claims relating to loan origination and collection practices do not share sufficient questions of fact with claims regarding the formation and

operation" of MERS and their inclusion "would needlessly entangle the litigation in unrelated, fact-intensive issues." *Id.* 

Accordingly, this Court will not retain claims that, although naming MERS as a defendant, allege conduct primarily related to loan origination and collection practices, or otherwise stray from the common factual core of the MDL. Only causes of action that in essence turn on the formation or operation of MERS, no matter how framed, have been transferred to undersigned.

Defendants Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. and MERSCORP, Inc. (collectively, "Moving Defendants") filed a Motion to Remand Claims. (Doc. #230.) Eight responses were filed. Four plaintiffs responded expressing complete agreement with the manner in which Moving Defendants suggested the claims be disposed. (Doc. ##372-75.) Defendants Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") and Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA") responded in apparent agreement with Moving Defendants. (Doc. ##363, 371.) One response by defendants HSBC Mortgage Services and Housekey Financial Corporation (collectively, "HSBC") disagrees as to one claim in one case. (Doc. #366.) Several other defendants<sup>3</sup> ("Responding Defendants") have responded with some different suggested classification of claims. (Doc. #367.) MERS replied. (Doc. #407.)

# II. Claims on Which the Parties Do Not Agree

Within these "tag-along" actions there are several types of claims over which the parties disagree. Where the parties agree as to the proper determination of a claim, the Court adopts the parties' determination unless otherwise noted.

### A. Unjust Enrichment and Civil Conspiracy

Plaintiffs assert claims for "unfair enrichment and civil conspiracy" in Velasquez (Sixth Claim), Villa (Sixth Claim), Hall (Fifth Claim), Hora (Sixth Claim), Shieban (Sixth Claim)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Countrywide Home Loans; ReconTrust Co., N.A.; ReconTrust Co.; BAC Home Loans Bankruptcy Risk Litigation Management Division; Bank of America; BAC Home Loans Servicing; America's Servicing Co.; and Wells Fargo Bank.

Claim), and *Lalatag* (Fifth Claim). Responding Defendants argue that the claims in *Velasquez*, *Villa*, *Hall*, and *Hora* should be split, with a part retained and a part remanded. Each claim alleges that defendants defrauded plaintiffs by "charging a higher interest rate" and accepting "kickbacks, profits... and gains and fee unrelated to the settlement services provided at closing" and agreed to engage in a conspiracy to do so.<sup>4</sup>

Moving Defendants argue that these claims were transferred to this Court as the Panel explicitly countenanced that "allegations that the various participants in MERS formed a conspiracy to commit fraud" (Doc. #1 at 2) should be centralized in the MDL. However, the accompanying allegations of higher charges and accepting kickbacks are separable and do not relate to the formation or operation of MERS. Therefore, the claims for unfair enrichment and civil conspiracy in *Velasquez*, *Villa*, *Hall*, and *Hora* will be separated. The allegations of conspiracy have been transferred to the MDL and the allegations of the underlying "unfair enrichment" have been remanded to the transferor courts. To maintain consistency, the Court will also separate the identically worded claims for unfair enrichment and civil conspiracy in *Shieban* and *Lalatag*, with a part retained and a part remanded.

#### B. Unjust Enrichment

Moving Defendants and Responding Defendants disagree about the status of the unjust enrichment claims in *Fitzwater* (Sixth Claim), *Boyd* (Sixth Claim), and *Berilo* (Sixth Claim). Each of these claims arises from defendants' "deceptive scheme" to withhold "the true purpose of the securitization" from borrowers. Thus, while these claims mention loan origination, they share sufficient questions of fact with claims regarding the formation and operation of MERS. Therefore, these claims have been transferred to the MDL.

### C. Fraud in the Inducement

Moving Defendants and Responding Defendants disagree about the status of claims for fraud in the inducement in *Greene* (Ninth Claim), *Berilo* (Third Claim), *Boyd* (Third

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See, e.g., CV 10-475-PHX-JAT (Velasquez), Doc. #1-2 at 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See, e.g., CV 10-487-PHX-JAT (Fitzwater), Doc. #1-1 at 40-41.

Claim), Bricker (Second Claim), and Fitzwater (Third Claim). Moving Defendants argue that these claims have been transferred to the MDL, while Responding Defendants argue that these claims have been split with part of each claim transferred to the MDL and part of each claim remanded to the transferor courts.

Each of these claims contains the allegation that defendants "misrepresented the ability of Plaintiffs to qualify for the loans" and other allegations relating to the loan origination process.<sup>6</sup> But these claims also allege that Defendants failed to disclose that they "had no lawful right to foreclose upon" the properties, failed to notify Plaintiffs that "their obligations on the notes had been discharged," and other allegations related to the operation of MERS.<sup>7</sup>

Moving Defendants are correct that this claim cannot be split. While the MERS-related misrepresentations or the non-MERS-related misrepresentations could each be logically sufficient to establish liability, it may be that only all of the misrepresentations together were sufficient to induce the plaintiffs to enter the contract. As these claims cannot be split, they have been transferred in their entirety to the MDL.

#### D. Emotional Distress

Plaintiffs assert a claim for emotional distress in *Greene* (Seventh Claim). Moving Defendants argue that this claim has been transferred in full, while Responding Defendants argue that this claim should be severed with part transferred and part remanded. As this claim is premised on the illegal actions alleged in all the other claims in the complaint, there is no reason that both this Court and the transferor court ought not consider this claim. Therefore, the claim for emotion distress has been transferred in part and remanded in part.

# E. Claims in Kwok and Saniel

Responding Defendants argue that plaintiffs' claims in Kwok and Saniel for "misrepresentation and fraud by omission," "contractual breach of duty of good faith and fair

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See, e.g., CV 10-487-PHX-JAT (Fitzwater), Doc. #1-1 at 26-27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id.* 

<sup>8</sup> The Complaint in Shieban does not contain a Seventh Claim.

<sup>9</sup> The Complaint in Villa does not contain a Seventh Claim.

dealing," and "fraud by obtaining signature by false pretenses" have all been remanded and that plaintiffs' claim for "civil RICO and racketeering" in *Saniel* (Sixth Claim) should be split. Both Moving Defendants and the plaintiffs, in their response, argue that all of these claims have been transferred in their entirety to the MDL.

These claims are vague. Responding Defendants are correct that these claims could easily be construed to involve loan origination issues that should be remanded. However, the Court will give additional weight to plaintiffs own construction of their claims. Plaintiffs, in their responses, adopted Moving Defendants suggestion that these claims have been transferred to the MDL in their entirety. (Doc. ##374-75.) Therefore, the Court finds that these several claims in *Kwok* and *Saniel* relate to the formation and operation of MERS and will be remain with the undersigned.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that with respect to *Shieban* (CV 10-470-PHX-JAT), claims 1, 2, and 8 and part of claim 6 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 3-5 and part of claim 6 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to Villa (CV 10-472-PHX-JAT) claims 1, 2, and 8 and part of claim 6 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 3-5 and part of claim 6 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to Hall (CV 10-473-PHX-JAT) claims 1, 2, 6, and 7 and part of claim 5 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 3 and 4 and part of claim 5 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

5

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to *Velasquez* (CV 10-475-PHX-JAT) claims 1, 2, and 8 and part of claim 6 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 3-5 and part of claim 6 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to *Hora* (CV 10-476-PHX-JAT) claims 1, 2, 8, and 9 and part of claim 6 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 3-5 and part of claim 6 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to Lalatag (CV 10-477-PHX-JAT) claims 1, 2, 6, and 7 and part of claim 5 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 3 and 4 and part of claim 5 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to Whalen (CV 10-471-PHX-JAT) the entire case remains with the undersigned as part of the MDL.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to Saniel (CV 10-483-PHX-JAT) claims 1-3 and 5-9 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claim 4 has been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to Kwok (CV 10-484-PHX-JAT) claims 1-3 and 5-9 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claim 4 has been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to *Berilo* (CV 10-485-PHX-JAT) claims 2-6, 12, and 13 and part of claims 10 and 11 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 1, 7-9, and part of claims 10 and 11 have been remanded to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The Complaint in Velasquez does not contain a Seventh Claim.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The Complaint in *Hora* does not contain a Seventh Claim.

transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to Yateman (CV 10-486-PHX-JAT) claims 1-5 and part of claims 13 and 14 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 6-12 and part of claims 13 and 14 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to Fitzwater (CV 10-487-PHX-JAT) claims 2-6 and part of claims 12 and 13 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 1, 7-11 and part of claims 12 and 13 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to Evans (CV 10-488-PHX-JAT) claims 2-6 and part of claims 12 and 13 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 1, 7-11 and part of claims 12 and 13 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to Kartman (CV 10-489-PHX-JAT) claims 2-6, 10, and 11 and part of claims 8 and 9 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 1 and 7 and part of claims 8 and 9 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to *Bricker* (CV 10-492-PHX-JAT) the entire case remains with the undersigned as part of the MDL.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to *Boyd* (CV 10-493-PHX-JAT) claims 2-6, 14, and 15 and part of claims 12 and 13 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 1, 7-11 and part of claims 12 and 13 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to *Golding* (CV 10-494-PHX-JAT) claims 2-6, and 8 and part of claim 7 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claim 1 and part of claim 7 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to Kahalehoe (CV 10-491-PHX-JAT) claims 5-8 and part of claims 1 and 2 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 3, 4, and 9 and part of claims 1 and 2 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to *Ene* (CV 10-469-PHX-JAT) claims 6 and 9 and part of claims 7, 8, and 10 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 1-5, 11, and 12 and part of claims 7, 8, and 10 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to *Greene* (CV 10-474-PHX-JAT, CV 10-482-PHX-JAT) claims 4-6, 8, and 9 and part of claims 7 and 10 remain with the undersigned as part of the MDL and claims 1-3 and part of claims 7 and 10 have been remanded to the transferor court. MERS shall file a copy of this Order with the transferor court within the next two business days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall file a copy of this Order in each member case listed on pages 1-2.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Fidelity National Title Insurance Company's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #402) is **DENIED** without prejudice to refiling a motion addressing only the claims retained in the MDL.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Chicago Title's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #403) is **DENIED** without prejudice to refiling a motion addressing only the claims retained in the MDL.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to any claims that are staying with this Court, Defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to those claims within the time limits set in the Initial Practice and Procedure Order (Doc. #25); with respect to any claims that have been remanded to the transferor courts, Defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to those claims within fifteen days of this Order, unless any order of the transferor court is inconsistent with this Order, in which case, the order of the transferor court shall control.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED within 5 days of this Order, MERS shall file all documents related to a case bifurcated herein into the record of the transferor court in that particular case. (Because this Court will not transfer the entire MDL file and docket to any individual transferor court, this will insure the Judge in the transferor court has a complete record for that specific case).

DATED this 17th day of May, 2010.

James A. Teilborg / United States District Judge

that the foregoing document is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in my office and in my custody.

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

. Deputy